Cranslation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference U30034PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)						
International application No.	International filing date (day)	month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)				
PCT/EP2003/012859	17 November 2003 (17	7.11.2003)	16 November 2002 (16.11.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G01N 33/50							
Applicant JOHANN WOLFGANG GOETHE-UNIVERSITÄT FRANKFURT AM MAIN							
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 							
2. This REPORT consists of a total of8 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
These annexes consist of a total of sheets.							
3. This report contains indications relat	ing to the following items:						
I Basis of the report							
II Priority							
III Non-establishment o	of opinion with regard to novel	y, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inve	ention						
v Reasoned statement citations and explana	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;						
VI Certain documents c	ited						
VII Certain defects in the	e international application		į				
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand	Date	f completion o	£ d.:				
25 May 2004 (25.05.2004)		_	otember 2004 (10.09.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Autho	rized officer					
Facsimile No.		Telephone No.					

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/012859

I. Basis of the report						
1. With	regard to the el	elements of the international application:*				
	the internation	nal application as originally filed				
\boxtimes	the description	on:				
		1-23 , as originally filed				
	natter	, filed with the demand				
		, filed with the letter of				
X	the claims:					
الاسكا		1-74 as originally filed				
		1-24 , as amended (together with any statement under Article 19				
	pages	, filed with the letter of,				
	the drawings:					
•		1/4-4/4 , as originally filed				
		, filed with the demand				
١ ,		, filed with the letter of				
	the sequence lis	sting part of the description:				
	pages	, as originally filed				
	pages	, filed with the demand				
į		, filed with the letter of				
l men	se elements were	language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which plication was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. re available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:				
1		of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
-		of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
	or 55.3).	e of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/				
3. With preli	minuary examina	ny nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international lation was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:				
		the international application in written form.				
		r with the international application in computer readable form.				
	furnished sub	bsequently to this Authority in written form.				
IЦ	furnished sub	bsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	The statemen	ent that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the application as filed has been furnished.				
	The statement been furnishe	nt that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has ed.				
4.		nents have resulted in the cancellation of:				
		escription, pages				
		aims, Nos.				
1		rawings, sheets/fig				
5.	This report ha	as been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go sclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**				
and 7	70.17).	which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to 'originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16				
** Any r	eplacement she	eet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.				
		-				

Hiterita

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/012859

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability							
1. The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:							
	the entire international application.						
	claims Nos						
beca	ause:						
\boxtimes	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 1-21 and 23-24 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
	See Supplemental sheets						
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos.						
2. A m	eaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid sence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:						
	the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.						
لا ا	the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.						
F- 5							

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12859

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: Box III.1

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 1 to 21, 23 and 24 relate to a method which is carried out on a living body ("obtaining a sample"). The subject matter thus falls under PCT Rule 67.1 (iv), and consequently no expert opinion has been established with regard to the industrial applicability of these claims (PCT Article 34(4)(a)(i)).

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/12859

v.	. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
1.	Statement					
	Novelty (N)	Claims	22	YES		
		Claims	1-21, 23-24	NO		
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES		
		Claims	1-24	NO NO		
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	22	YES		
		Claims		NO		

2. Citations and explanations

1. Citations

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: ROESSIG L et al.: "Evidence for increased circulating apoptotic endothelial cells in patients with coronary artery disease", EUROPEAN HEART JOURNAL, Vol. 23, No. Abstract Supplement, page 656, XP009028084, Congress of the European Society of Cardiology; Berlin, Germany; August 31 September 04, 2002, ISSN 0195-668X (ISSN print)
- D2: ROSSIG LOTHAR et al.: "Levels of circulating apoptotic endothelial cells reflect disease activity in patients with coronary artery disease", CIRCULATION, Vol. 106, No. 19, Supplement, 5 November 2002 (2002-11-05), pages II-U, XP009028097, Abstracts from Scientific Sessions; Chicago, IL, USA; November 17-20, 2002, ISSN 0009-7322 (ISSN print)
- D3: GEORGE F et al.: "Cytofluorometric detection of human endothelial cells in whole blood using S-Endo 1 monoclonal antibody", JOURNAL OF IMMUNOLOGICAL METHODS 1991

 NETHERLANDS, Vol. 139, No. 1, 1991, pages 65-75,

 XP009027334, ISSN 0022-1759 (cited in the application)
- D4: MUTIN MURIELLE et al.: "Direct evidence of endothelial injury in acute myocardial infarction and unstable angina by demonstration of circulating endothelial cells", BLOOD, Vol. 93, No. 9, 1 May 1999 (1999-05-01), pages 2951-2958, XP001180171, ISSN 0006-4971 (cited in the application)

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12859

- D5: VASA MARIUCA et al.: "Statin therapy increases the number and stimulates migration of endothelial progenitor cells in patients with stable coronary artery disease and acute myocardial infarction", CIRCULATION, Vol. 104, No. 17, Supplement, 23 October 2001 (2001-10-23), pages II-725, XP002274963, Scientific Sessions 2001 of the American Heart Association; Anaheim, California, USA; November 11-14, 2001, ISSN 0009-7322
- D6: MONESTIROLI SILVIA et al.: "Kinetics and viability of circulating endothelial cells as surrogate angiogenesis marker in an animal model of human lymphoma", CANCER RESEARCH, Vol. 61, No. 11, 1 June 2001 (2001-06-01), pages 4341-4344, XP002274899, ISSN 0008-5472
- D7: MANCUSO PATRIZIA et al.: "Resting and activated endothelial cells are increased in the peripheral blood of cancer patients", BLOOD, Vol. 97, No. 11, 1 June 2001 (2001-06-01), pages 3658-3661, XP002274898, ISSN 0006-4971 (cited in the application)
- DB: DIGNAT-GEORGE FRANCOISE et al.: "Circulating endothelial cells in acute coronary syndromes", BLOOD, Vol. 95, No. 2, 15 January 2000 (2000-01-15), page 728, XP009028120, ISSN 0006-4971
- 2. <u>Novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability (PCT Article 33)</u>
- Document D1 describes a method for detecting endothelial cells associated with cardiovascular diseases in blood samples. Endothelial cell markers (CD146 and von Willebrand factor), apoptosis markers (annexin V) and endothelial precursor cell markers (CD133) are used (see the abstract), and a non-endothelial cell marker is also detected (CD45). Claims 1 to 5 and 7 to 21 of the present application are thus anticipated by D1. The subject matter of claim 6 (use of blood samples with added coagulation inhibitor) also appears to be implicit in D1. The subject matter of claims 1 to 21 therefore lacks novelty.

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12859

Document D2 also describes a similar method in which circulating apoptotic endothelial cells are detected. The features of claims 1 to 21 appear to be either disclosed by or implicit in D2. For the sake of completeness it is noted that the aforementioned method is also anticipated by documents D3, D4 and D5. The subject matter of claims 1 to 21 therefore lacks novelty.

Document D4 describes the aforementioned method in the investigation of acute myocardial infarction and its usefulness in the monitoring of treatment for vascular diseases (pages 215 and 219). Claim 23 therefore appears to lack novelty in relation to D4.

Document D5 also describes the use of a similar method (in this case the measuring of CD34-positive floating endothelial cells) during statin therapy for patients with coronary artery disease. The features of claims 23 and 24 are all either disclosed by or implicit in D5. The subject matter of claims 23 and 24 therefore lacks novelty in relation to D5.

The following feature (claim 22) is not disclosed in the prior art (documents D1 to D8):

- A diagnostic kit for carrying out the method according to one of claims 1 to 21.

Summary:

The subject matter of claims 1 to 21, 23 and 24 lacks novelty; claim 22 appears to be novel.

2.2 The subject matter of claims 1 to 21, 23 and 24 is already known (see above) and therefore does not involve an inventive step.

It is noted that even if the claims were to be amended in such a way as to make them novel (for example, by limiting them to features which are not disclosed in the same combination in documents D1 to D5), they would probably

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12859

still not involve an inventive step. All the features mentioned in the description and in the claims are already known from documents D1 to D6 or appear to be conventional measures. For a person skilled in the art, incorporating these measures would be an obvious and routine procedure for modifying the known method. The features in question do not appear to be suitable for establishing an inventive step.

- 2.3 As indicated above, the subject matter of claim 22 is novel over documents D1 to D5, since the term "kit" is not explicitly mentioned in any of these documents. However, the packing of test components into a box cannot be considered inventive if it is well known that these components are used in conjunction with each other (see documents D1 to D8).

 Claim 22 therefore fails to meet the PCT requirement of inventive step.
- 2.4 Claim 22 appears to be industrially applicable.

3. Further objections

- 3.1 Claim 22 is not clear because it merely refers to other claims and does not contain any technical features of its own. In order to meet the requirement of clarity (PCT Article 6), all the components of the claimed test kit should be specified in the claim.
- 3.2 It is clear from the application that the problem addressed by the application is that of providing a method for detecting shed floating endothelial cells and/or endothelial precursor cells in order to detect changes in the endothelial function associated with cardiovascular diseases (see page 5). Certain essential features of the invention do not appear to be specified in the claims (for example, the fact that floating cells are detected). The applicant is reminded that an independent claim must contain all the technical features that are essential to the definition of the invention (PCT Article 6 in conjunction with PCT Rule 6.3(b)).

International application No. PCT/EP 03/12859

- 3.3 Some of the citations in the description are either incomplete (page 4: Dignat-George et al.) or wrong (pages 7 and 19: Vasa et al.) and should be corrected.
- 3.4 Contrary to the requirements of PCT Rule 5.1(a)(ii), the description does not cite documents D1, D2, D5 and D8 or give an account of the relevant prior art disclosed therein.